

GENERAL INFOR	TAM	ION /										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TYPE OF INSPECTION CAFO COM	N: PLAINT	RECONNA	AISSANCE [] ER	U FOLLOW	' UP		PERA	TOR REQUES	T [] ОТНЕ	:R	
FACILITY NAME (LLC, Inc., Corp, Partnership, sole proprietorship, etc.) Rob Wood Farms, Inc. INSPECTION DA May 3, 2011								ATE ARRIVAL TIME 10:54 am					
ADDRESS 31752 North State Route 49 INSPECTOR(s) J.P. Holste								DEPARTURE TIME 1:30 pm					
CITY Potomac			STATE ZIP CILIBRO G186				ACCOMPANIED BY (if app Rob Wood			licable)			
LEGAL DESCRIPTION COUNTY Vermilion					WNSHIP 2N	SHIP RANGE R14W		TEMPERATURE 50's		PRECIPITATION TYPE none			
Facility Owner(s): Exemption 6 and Exemption 7(C)	NAME Rob \			42.7%	annimon a	ONT YES	ΓACTED S □ N		HONE	M	OBILE emption 6	and Exe	mption 7(0
	ADDR	ESS			CITY		/ 0\		STATE	ŽĪF	CODE		
	NAME	emption 6	and Ex	(en			CTED	PH IO	HONE		MOBIL		
	ADDR	ESS		- 1.	CITY				STATE	ZIF	CODE		
Facility Operator(s): NAME Exemption 6 and Exemption 7(0)				CONTACTED PHONE YES NO			MOBILE						
	ADDR	ESS			CITY				STATE	ZIF	CODE		
	NAME					ONT YES	TACTED	IO PF	HONE		MOBIL		3. 1
	ADDR	ESS		***************************************	CITY	1			STATE	ZIF	CODE		
NPDES PERMIT	INFO	RMATION (I	no NPDES	Per	mit, skip	th	is sect	ion)					
1. What type of NPDES permit has been issued? Individual NPDES Permit General NPDES Permit NPDES #								1					
		NPDES permit i											
3. What date does the NPDES permit expire?													
4. Is a copy of the NPDES permit onsite?5. Permitted number of animal units?													
6. Does the NPDES Permit contain a compliance schedule? YES NO													
7. Have there been any changes made to the production area since the permit was issued? YES NO							NO						
	de a c	letailed descrip	tion of those	cha	nges.								
None													
													1. 1. 1.
													· *

Facility Name: Rob Wood Farms, Inc.

Inspection Date: May 3, 2011 Page 2/7

LAND APPLICATION/NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT		
How many TOTAL acres are available for land application? 2300 acres		
2. How many acres are READILY available for land application at the time of inspection?	2300	acres
3. Estimated annual quantities of liquid waste gallons		
4. Estimated annual quantities of solid wastetons		
5. Does the facility have a contractor perform land application? If "YES", Name of Contractor:	YES	NO NO
6. What type of land application equipment is available to the facility?		
☐ Umbilical Injection ☐ Honeywagon Injection ☐ Honeywagon Surface ☐ Irrig	ation	
☐ Rotational Gun ☐ Manure Spreader ☐ Vegetative Filter ☐ Other 2nd M	lanure Spi	eader
7. Does the facility calibrate the land application equipment? If "YES", What method is used? The facility loader has load sensor that records weight of manure, then they use distance of application and adjust spreaders as needed.	⊠ YES	□ NO
8. Does the facility land apply within the 150 foot setback from any water well? If "YES", Explain	YES	NO NO
9. Does the facility land apply within the 200 foot setback from any surface water? If "YES", Explain	YES	⊠ NO
10.Does the facility land apply near any residences? If "YES", Explain	YES	⊠ NO
11.Is livestock waste transferred off-site to another party? If "YES", Are records of manure transfers kept? If "YES", Ask to see records	YES YES YES YES YES N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	NO NO
12.Does the facility have a current NMP or CNMP? If "YES", Does the facility maintain a copy of the nutrient management plan (NMP) onsite?	YES YES	⊠ NO □ NO
13. Does the NMP reflect the current operational characteristics (number of animals, cropping, etc.)?	YES	NO
14.Are the number of acres owned/leased consistent with those in the NMP?	YES	I NO
15. Is manure and wastewater being applied in accordance with setback/buffer requirements of the NMP?	YES	NO
16.Are all of the records identified in the NMP being maintained and kept current?	YES	□ NO
17. Are records being maintained at the required frequency?	YES	[] NO
18.Are records being maintained onsite for the period required by NMP and/or NPDES permit?	YES	□ NO
19. Is the NMP adequately addressing the storage, handling and application of manure and wastewater to prevent discharges to waters of the U.S.?	YES	NO

Facility Name: Rob Wood Farms, Inc.

Inspection Date: May 3, 2011 Page 3/7 LIVESTOCK FACILITY DESCRIPTION **Facility Type** Total Confinement Buildings Open Earthen Feedlot Open Confinement Buildings Vegetated Pasture Open Concrete Feedlot Other Type of Animals Number of Animals (currently) Capacity Type of Confinement **BEEF CATTLE** 2000 2400 Roofed open lots Does the facility have an Illinois Certified Livestock Manager (300 or greater animal units)? N/A YES NO If greater than 1000 animal units but less than 5000 animal units, does the facility have a N/A 🖂 YES NO waste management plan? If greater than 5000 animal units, has the facility submitted a waste management plan to YES N/A NO IDOA for review? Does the facility have any other locations under common ownership, or where equipment and/or YES manure is shared, or where the other site shares land application sites? If so, put names and addresses below. None LIVESTOCK WASTE STORAGE Does the facility have any existing livestock waste containment system? NO If NO, then proceed to question 10. General description of the waste containment system (include solid and liquid manure handling, mortality, and 2. feed storage areas). There are manure storage bunkers between the pens under the roofed structures. Dead animals are picked up for rendering the next day after death. Facility has an average death loss of 0.56 %

Facility Name: **Rob Wood Farms, Inc.** Inspection Date: **May 3, 2011** Page 4/7

Ту	pe of Storage	Total Storage Capacity (Specify Units)				
	Anaerobic Lagoon	in the second se				
	Covered Lagoon					
	Holding Pond					
	Above Ground Storage Tank ("Slurrystore")					
	Below Ground Storage Tank					
	Settling Basin					
X	Roofed Storage Shed	Storage has been approximately 6 months				
	Concrete Pad					
	Impervious Soil Pad					
	Underfloor Pits					
	Anaerobic Digester					
	Manure Stacks	Located between the pens under the roof				
	Vegetative Filter					
	Other Compost windrow	West side of production area used for compost windrow				
	None					
3.	Do the storage structures have depth markers or staff gauges? YES NO					
4.	Are levels of manure in the storage structures recorded and records kept? YES NO					
5.	Do the storage structures have adequate free	board? YES NO				
6.	Estimated final stage storage structure freeboard in.					
7.	Do facility personnel perform routine visual inspections of the storage structures? YES NO					
8.	Are the routine visual inspections documented? \square YES \boxtimes NO					
9.	Does the system have an outfall or discharge point? YES NO					
	If "YES", please provide a description (overflodischarge). None	w pipe, spill way, etc. Include a description the area receiving the				
10.). Are there any portions of the production area where runoff is not controlled? $oxed{oxed}$ YES $oxed{oxed}$ NO					
		area(s) of concern: areas showed evidence of runoff into adjacent field. They a on the west side of the facility where runoff is not well				
МО	RTALITIES MANAGEMENT					
1.	How are mortalities managed? (Composted, Picked up for rendering.	buried, burned, rendering service, other)				
2.	Are mortalities documented and are records k	xept? ☐ YES ☐ NO				

Facility Name: Rob Wood Farms, Inc. Inspection Date: May 3, 2011 Page 5/7 **FACILITY WATER SOURCES** What type of method is used to provide drinking water for the animals? □ Overflow waters□ Tip Tanks□ Nipple waters□ Water Bowls□ Other■ on-demand concrete tanks 2. How is the water for animals obtained? ☐ Community PWS ☐ On-Site Well ☐ On-Site Impoundment ☐ Other Is a mist cooling system used? YES How is mist water contained? None DAIRY OPERATION (If No Dairy, skip this section) How many times per day are cows milked? 1. 2. Describe how the dairy's non-contact cooling water is contained (Example: it is reused for drinking water for the animals). None 3. Describe how the milking parlor is cleaned (hose or flush) and where the process wastewater goes and how it is contained. None 4. Describe how the tank(s) are washed and where the process wastewater goes and how it is contained. None 5. Describe where process wastewater from the plate cooler goes and how it is contained. None

BEDDING (If No Bedding, skip this section)

1. Describe what type of bedding is used for the animals.

They use saw dust and wood chips

2. Describe how bedding is collected and how often.

Bedding that is soiled is place in manure storage structures, clean up performed as needed

What is done with the used bedding? Reused 3. ∠ Land Applied Facility Name: Rob Wood Farms, Inc. Inspection Date: May 3, 2011 Page 6/7 MANURE COLLECTION How is manure collected? Under Floor Pit Scraped: Automatic Manual Flush Solids Separator Other: None If manure collection system uses either clean or reused water to flush, describe where this water goes and 2. how it is contained. None FEED STORAGE CONTAINMENT Describe how feed (silage, hay, etc) is contained. Bulk Bins Silage Pit Ag Bags Other: Describe how feed (silage, hay, etc) runoff is contained. Not Applicable – Feed totally enclosed Other: <u>settling pond and vegetative filter area</u> None RECEIVING SURFACE WATERS 1. Provide a description of the flow path from the facility to the nearest named surface water. All runoff from facility flows into cropland and could then flow into ditch along State Route 49. Ditch is eventually tributary to Middle Fork of Vermilion River. 2. What is the name of the receiving stream? Middle Fork of Vermilion River. 3. Status of the named surface water: \quad \text{Intermittent} \quad \text{Perennial}

4. Are any unnatural bottom deposits observed in the receiving stream: \(\subseteq\) YES \(\simeq\) NO

If "YES", provide a description of the deposits: None

Facility Name: Rob Wood Farms, Inc. Inspection Date: May 3, 2011 Page 7/7 DISCHARGES 1. Have there been any documented discharges of livestock waste to surface water in the YES \times \mid NO past year? If "NO" proceed to question 2. a. If "YES", specify the date(s). b. What was the reason for the discharge? Was the discharge the result of a 25 year-24 hour rainfall event? YES NO d. What was the precipitation amount? (if applicable) Was IEMA notified of the discharge? YES NO Has the facility taken corrective action to remedy the situation which caused the YES NO discharge(s)? If "YES", describe actions taken: None 2. Is the facility currently discharging livestock waste from the production area? If "NO" YES NO proceed to next section. b. Was the discharge the result of a 25 year-24 hour rainfall event? YES NO c. What was the precipitation amount? (if applicable) d. What is the reason for the discharge? OTHER COMMENTS/NOTES Facility has multiple points in the production area where evidence of runoff during storm events was noted. It would appear that the facility could adjust drainage in the production area and how products are stored, to allow proper handling of any runoff. It was recommended to Mr. Wood during the inspection that he develop a nutrient management plan that covers all aspects of the facility, not just land application of livestock waste. It is recommended that facility be advised to seek permit coverage unless they can demonstrate that all runoff has been adequately addressed and is handled appropriately. Apparent Violations

- 1. Runoff from the facility is not directed to appropriate disposal, holding or storage areas as required, 35 Illinois Administrative Code, Section 501.403(b)
- 2. The compost windrows (manure stacks) were not located such that they prevent runoff from entering surface waters, 35 Illinois Administrative Code, Section 501.404(b)1.
- 3. Runoff discharged from the facility to the surrounding cropland and drainage ways is a threat to cause water pollution, Illinois Environmental Protection Act, Section 12(a).
- 4. Facility threatens to discharge contaminated runoff from the facility into waters of the State without a NPDES Permit, Illinois Environmental Protection Act, Section 12(f).

Facility Name: Rob Wood Farms, Inc. Inspection Date: May 3, 2011 Will an inspection report be attached? NO **INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE** REPORT DATE August 9, 2011

Bruce Yurdin, BW/DWPC/FOS, #15

Attachments: Maps